## **Executive Summary of Options**<sup>i</sup>

Option	Advantages	Disadvantages
Direct delivery i.e. no	Council in control	May not be realistic if incumbent's
separate organisation		management employees are not caught by
<ul> <li>council provides</li> </ul>	No private profit extracted	TUPE or opt out of TUPE (council does not
services		currently employ staff with the necessary
	Staff receive benefits of working	experience)
	for the council – likely to have	
	union and staff support	Significant start-up costs e.g. vans, IT as
	''	there is currently little/no infrastructure
	No risk of contractor insolvency	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	,	DLO will find it more difficult to meet
	No procurement necessary for DLO	fluctuating demands than a national
	, , ,	contractor who can flex their workforce
	Ability to ensure positive	across geographic areas
	relationships with tenants	across geograpine areas
	Telationships with tenants	Lack of established ways of working and
		corporate experience of delivering services
		corporate experience of delivering services
		Significant resources required to undertake
		multiple procurements e.g. vans, IT, sub-
		contractors
		Contractors
		Will have to procure sub-contractors etc. in
		compliance with EU regulated public
		procurement regime and Contract Standing
		Orders
		orders .
		Will need to procure some planned repairs
		and major works where the DLO does not
		have the capacity / skills
Outsourcing –	Successful bidder will supply vans	Risk of contractor insolvency (but this risk
either via a	and IT and will absorb start-up	can be reduced by robust consideration of
partnership contract,	costs (though will be reflected in	financial standing in selection stage of
term contract or	pricing)	procurement)
framework agreement		
	Likely to have established ways of	Incumbent will have advantage in
	working/procedures in place to	procurement process over other suppliers
	deliver services	as no start-up costs and in-depth
		knowledge of councils requirements
	Council will receive a contractor	(though the council is under a duty to try
	warranty in relation to the works	and level the playing field if possible during
		the procurement process)
	Existing contract has worked well	
	for responsive repairs. Separate	Procurement process encourages low bids
	tailored procurements e.g. for	which may lead bidders to plan to reduce
	responsive repairs and major	wages (despite constraints of TUPE)
	works would ensure right contract	,
	and contractor for different	May not work as well for customer contact

	aspects of service	where more control and interaction with tenants would be beneficial
Wholly owned subsidiary	Council in control in relation to staff	If contractor appointed to manage – will extract some profit  High cost of establishing and administrative costs of supporting board etc
		Potentially difficult to navigate as staff employed by council but taking direction from contractor
JV	May be able to win other work and benefit from economies of scale	Competitive dialogue takes 12- 18 months.  Incumbent has advantage  Very high start-up costs e.g. legal work to support competitive dialogue and establish
		Ongoing costs of supporting board etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> This executive summary does not include all the advantages and disadvantages set out in the Trowers & Savills report but is intended to capture the most significant ones.